

# OUTGOING TELEGRAM Department of State

INDICATE: ☐ CHARGE TO

CONFIDENTIAL

Classification

09-7-4

EXCISE

Oct 17 - 8 15 PM '62

54

Origin

E

SS:

G

SP:

L

H

SAL

ARA

EUR

EA

P

IOP

NSC

INR

CIA

NSA

OSD

ARME

NAVY

A&R

NIG

CAB

FAA

IRSY

RRR

ACTION: Embassy, PARIS TOPO

632 PRIORITY

INFO:

Embassy, ANKARA

(by pouch)

Embassy, ATHENS

Embassy, BONN

Embassy, BRUSSELS

Embassy, COPENHAGEN

Embassy, LISBON

Embassy, LONDON

Embassy, LUXEMBOURG (by pouch)

Embassy, MOSCOW

Embassy, OSLO

Embassy, OTTAWA

Embassy, REYKIAVIK

(by pouch)

Embassy, ROME

Embassy, STOCKHOLM

Embassy, THE HAGUE

Deliver: Paris 8 A.M. October 18

Ref: Depoint, 626

POLTO 451

POLAD Agenda

Item III

Responses of NATO govts. to bilateral US approaches indicate that,

while there will be sympathy US proposal and considerable positive support,

it may be difficult to obtain unequivocal POLAD position in favor outright

rejection any Soviet overflight requests for aircraft destined

Cuba. Key countries from practical viewpoint are UK and Canada through which

flights so far performed

UK and Canada presumably

more likely take effective action if other NATO govts express positive

TRC:AV:MStyler:ec 10/17/62

Telegraphic transmission and

EUR:RPM:Irwin M. Tobin

Clearances:

S - Mr. Stoffel

RPM - Mr. Spielman

SOV - Mr. Bowden

BNA - Mr. Hubbard

JNA - Mr. Bergson

JNA - Mr. Jallstad

TRC - Mr. Bolster

CONFIDENTIAL

Classification

REPRODUCTION FROM THIS COPY IS PROHIBITED UNLESS "UNCLASSIFIED".

32-322

87- 00502113 PB

PRIORITY

CONFIDENTIAL

support US proposal.

Accordingly US rep should endeavor call forth as much support for US proposal as possible with aim of obtaining POLAD agreement as well as refusal or agreement that QUOTE member countries will take appropriate steps to assure Soviets not able overfly or drop their territory on flights destined Cuba UNQUOTE. If agreement to neither possible, AMRO should report views expressed with its recommendations re further action.

If reps raise question of effect of denial Soviet requests on bilateral civil air transport relations with USSR, Department believes most cogent reply that contained refusal. No consider retaliation argument largely smoke screen without to our knowledge basis in actual past experience. It clearly evident present bilateral air transport relations with USSR based on full reciprocity, granting overflight rights to Soviets for Cuban flights, as in case UK (see London's 227 to Paris), has not yet resulted in reciprocity, e.g., permission for British aircraft overfly USSR to third countries.

END.

RUSK

CONFIDENTIAL

**TRANSCRIBED COPY FOLLOWS**

ACTION Amembassy, PARIS TOPOL

PRIORITY

INFO:	Amembassy, ANKARA	(by pouch)
	Amembassy, ATHENS	" "
	Amembassy, BONN	" "
	Amembassy, BRUSSELS	" "
	Amembassy, COPENHAGEN	" "
	Amembassy, LISBON	" "
	Amembassy, LONDON	2187
	Amembassy, LUXEMBOURG	(by pouch)
	Amembassy, MOSCOW	" "
	Amembassy, OSLO	" "
	Amembassy, OTTAWA	477
	Amembassy, REYKYAVIK	(by pouch)
	Amembassy, ROME	" "
	Amembassy, STOCKHOLM	" "
	Amembassy, THE HAGUE	" "

Deliver: Farley 8 A.M. October 18.

Ref: Depcirtel 626.

POLTO 451. POLAD Agenda. Item III

Responses of NATO govts to bilateral US approaches indicate that, while there will be sympathy US proposal and considerable positive support, it may be difficult obtain unequivocal POLAD position in favor outright rejections any Soviet overflight or landing requests for aircraft destined Cuba. Key countries from practical viewpoint are UK and Canada through which flights so far performed.

[Excised ] UK and Canada  
presumably more likely take effective action if other NATO  
govts express positive

support US approved.

Accordingly US rep should endeavor call forth as much support for US proposal as possible with aim of obtaining POLAD agreement as put for the refel or agreement that QUOTE member countries will take [illegible] hope to assure Soviets not able overfly or stop their territory on flights destined Cuba UNQUOTE. If agreement to neither is possible, USRO should report views expressed with its recommendations re further actions.

If reps raise question of effect of denial Soviet requests on bilateral civil air transport relations with USSR. Department believes most cogent reply that to our knowledge basis in actual past experience. It clearly evident present bilateral air transport relations with USSR based on full reciprocity granting overflight rights to Soviets for Cuban flights, as in case UK (see London's 227 to Paris), has not rpt [illeg] resulted in reciprocity, e.g., permission for British aircraft overfly USSR to third countries.

END.